## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: Blake Dawson Waldron Locked Bag N6 PO Grosvenor Place SYDNEY NSW 2000  Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  Applicant  JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. II   Basis of the opinion
Blake Dawson Waldron Locked Bag N6 PO Grosvenor Place SYDNEY NSW 2000  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year)  Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699  International Filing date (day/month/year) PTAU2004/001699  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  L. Cl. 7 A01H 5/00  Applicant  JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    X   Box No. 1   Basis of the opinion     Box No. 11   Priority     Box No. 11   Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement
PO Grosvenor Place SYDNEY NSW 2000    Comparison of the International Searching Authority
SYDNEY NSW 2000  INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year)  Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699  International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/AU2004/001699  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  1. Cl. A01H 5/00  Applicant JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. 1
Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495.  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  International application and IPC
Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495.  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699 2 December 2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 1. Cl. 7 A01H 5/00  Applicant JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention X Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
Applicant's or agent's file reference DGC DAA 02 1377 1495  International application No. PCT/AU2004/001699  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  t. Cl. 7 A01H 5/00  Applicant JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
International application No.  PCT/AU2004/001699  2 December 2004  2 December 2003  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  1. Cl. 7 A01H 5/00  Applicant  JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. II Priority  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
PCT/AU2004/001699  2 December 2004  2 December 2003  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  1. Cl. A01H 5/00  Applicant  JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  It. Cl. 7 A01H 5/00  Applicant  JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
Applicant JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
Applicant  JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH PTY LIMITED et al  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    X
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  X Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
X   Box No. I   Basis of the opinion
X   Box No. I   Basis of the opinion
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
X   Box No. V   Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
citations and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. VI Certain documents cited
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
X Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
2. FURTHER ACTION
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  The second secon
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA JAMIE TURNER
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929  Telephone No. (02) 6283 2071

10/581428 Special Transport of the second se

## WRIT LÉN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

nternational application No.

PCT/AU2004/001699

			<u></u> -			<u> </u>				
Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion								
1.	With rega which it v	rd to the language, this opinion has b vas filed, unless otherwise indicated w	een established on the basis onder this item.	f the international ap	plication in the lang	guage in				
ĺ	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).									
2.	With regar	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino ac vention, this opinion has been establis	cid sequence disclosed in the shed on the basis of:	international applica	tion and necessary	to the				
	a. type of	f material								
	X	a sequence listing								
	لنت	table(s) related to the sequence listing			•	•				
,		of material								
		<b>A</b> ,	•			:				
-		in written format								
	لتت	in computer readable form	•							
(	c. time of	filing/furnishing								
		contained in the international applicat	ion as filed.	•						
		filed together with the international ar								
	$\mathbf{X}$	furnished subsequently to this Authori	ity for the purposes of search.							
3. [	filed	dition, in the case that more than one or furnished, the required statements t application as filed or does not go be	hat the information in the sub	sequent or additiona	l copies is identical	been to that				
				•						
4. 1	Additional	comments:								
was fi	led on 24	c containing the sequences was found February 2005 along with a statement s identical to the written sequence".	to be damaged prior to a sequential "the information recorded	uence search being conditions of the computer readal	arried out. A subst ble form [i.e. on the	itute disk				
				·		-				
	•	•			•					
					•					
		•								
					**					
				_						
					•					
					•					
		•			•					
			,							

## WRIT LEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001699

Box No. V	Reasoned statement unapplicability; citations	nder Rule and expla	43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) nations supp	with regard to novelty, inver	ntive step or industrial	
1. Statement		•				·
No	ovelty (N)	Claims	1-97		YES	•
	*	Claims	• ;	*	NO	
In	ventive step (IS)	Claims	1-97		YES	
	-	Claims		÷	NO	
Inc	fustrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-97	•	YES	
	. , <u></u>	Claims			NO	

2. Citations and explanations:.

The following citations, first raised in the corresponding International Search Report, are referred to as follows:

D1 – WO 1999011765

D2 - WO 1999035902

The invention the subject of the present international application resides in a cytochrome P-450 reductase enzyme from a poppy plant characterised in that it is involved in alkaloid biosynthesis and which inhibits the accumulation of at least one benzylisoquinoline alkaloid (such as (S)-reticuline) above a normal level of the alkaloid in the plant (that is, this reductase catalyses (S) reticuline in a biosynthetic pathway). By controlling the expression of this reductase (encoded by the gene CPR2) in a poppy plant, the alkaloid profile as well as the total alkaloid yield can be controlled.

Clearly the most relevant art is D1. This patent, the work of the present applicants, also relates to a cytochrome P-450 reductase from poppy plants. This reductase does not appear to be involved in the catalysis of benzylisoquinoline alkaloids (such as (S)-reticuline. Further, SEQ ID NO: 1 from the present application and the sequence shown in Figure 9 (in D1) only possess an 83% identity.

D2 relates to a mutagenized poppy plant which produces (S)-reticuline in higher than normal quantities.

Hence, neither D1 nor D2 are considered prejudicial to the novelty of claims 1-97. Finally, there does not appear to be reason to suspect a skilled person could use the teachings of D1 or D2 to determine the particular cytochrome P-450 reductase characterised by the present application. Hence the claims are considered to involve an inventive step.

## WRIT LÉN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001699

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The claims of the international application, in general, are not fully supported by the description. Independent claims 1, 12, 36, 50, 62 and 87 relate to any cytochrome P-450 enzyme (as well as nucleic acid molecules encoding therefore etc) whereas there seems to only be support for the gene CPR2 (as shown in SEQ ID NO: 1) which encodes a particular cytochrome P-450 reductase. Because claims 1, 12, 36, 50, 62 and 87 are not limited to CPR2 these claims are not considered to be fully supported by the description.